

In the Trenches with IP Multicast

Greg Bell

LBLnet Services Group, LBNL

ESCC: February 6, 2003

- **To tell the story of our “multicast meltdown”**
- **To describe a few insidious failure modes**
- **To summarize lessons learned**
- **To offer tips for faster troubleshooting**
- **Caveats:**
 - **This is not a traditional guide to debugging multicast**
 - **I’m describing a worst-case scenario**
 - **I have an *operational* perspective**

A brief orientation



- **LBLnet is a medium-sized LAN**
 - >12,000 attached devices
 - >100 subnets
 - IP, IPX, Appletalk & DECnet
 - several remote sites
- **Simple multicast topology**
 - PIM sparse-dense, with MSDP for peering
- **Major customer for multicast services:
Access Grid Node**

- until 9/01: **stability**
- 9/01-7/02: **sporadic trouble**, with 3 internal and 4 external outages
- 8/02-9/02: **meltdown**
 - Frequent multicast outages
 - Symptoms: loss of PIM neighbor state, severe packet loss (both random and cyclic), unidirectional connectivity, late joins, MSDP anomalies, and more...
 - Furious debugging effort
 - Time required to sort it out = engineer-weeks
 - Eventually diagnosed at least 8 bugs on 5 platforms

The happy ending



- **Return of stability on 10/7/02, when we diagnosed an intermittent CGMP bug**
- **No multicast failure within LBLnet since that date**
 - (with one exception: the “SQL Slammer” worm tickled a Cisco MSDP bug, but the work-around was simple)

Contributing to the meltdown



- **Heterogeneous network (4 router platforms)**
- **Many IOS versions (the result of bug fixes)**
- **During the Summer of 2002, there was a significant increase in**
 - **AG Node utilization**
 - **The profile and importance of AG Node meetings**
 - **Volume of multicast traffic**
- **New peering relationship with ESnet**
- **New border topology**
- **All of these factors put us at greater risk**

- **Lesson #1: multicast routing code is buggy**
 - **We discovered catastrophic bugs on 5 different platforms (a mixture of routers / switches):**
 - **Cisco 4500**
 - **Cisco 7513**
 - **Cisco 6509**
 - **Cisco 8540**
 - **Cisco 5500**

How serious are the bugs?



- **Extremely serious (not minor annoyances). Often, they affect router stability, not just multicast functionality**
- **From a major vendor's website:**

Bug ID #CSCdx82485

Symptoms: Under rare circumstances, a router that is configured with Protocol-Independent Multicast (PIM) may pause indefinitely.

Workaround: Use a different Ethernet card, or avoid using PIM.

How serious are the bugs (cont)?



- **We've seen all of the following symptoms:**
 - Router reboots when it encounters multicast traffic
 - Normal PIM hello packets wedge an interface buffer, causing router to lose all PIM neighbor state
 - Router becomes unstable and drops unicast traffic when normal IGMP packets (mtrace) wedge a backbone interface buffer
 - Router spontaneously reboots when attempting to establish MSDP peering
 - MSDP router doesn't advertise active local sources
 - Switch running CGMP intermittently drops all multicast packets

- **Lesson #2: the severity of these bugs suggests a flagrant lack of concern for quality assurance**
 - If PIM neighbor state isn't verified, then what is?
 - Beware! OS versions recommended by a vendor for multicast bug-fix purposes may contain new (and even worse) bugs

- **Lesson #3: stability *can* be achieved, but it may require considerable engineering resources**
- **Lesson #4: debugging a serious multicast problem may impair the stability of unicast routing**
 - frequent OS upgrades
 - CPU-intensive debug commands
 - intrusive tests (process-switching of multicast)

- **Lesson #5: your “problem” may be caused by 2 (or more) simultaneous bugs, in which case troubleshooting becomes much more difficult**
 - One symptom masks another
 - It’s not always obvious when you’ve eliminated a bug
- **Lesson #6: your diagnostic tools may be flawed**
 - mtrace destabilizes remote router
 - Some “show” commands have bugs (ie, sho ip mroute count)

- **Lesson #7: when multicast fails, it will fail at the worst possible moment**
 - during a meeting in which network budgets are discussed
 - during a high-profile Earth Simulator conference
 - 2 minutes before the Lab Director arrives at the AG Node for a demonstration
- **Not simply the result of bad luck; many bugs are load-dependent**
- **Lesson #7 leads to a special state of mind: Multicast Induced Paranoia (MIP)**

- **Once multicast is stable, what can you do to accelerate the trouble-shooting process?**

The key is rapid diagnosis



- **Easier said than done, because symptoms are frequently misleading**
 - Problems in the WAN can initially present as problems in the LAN, and vice-versa
 - Once your network has suffered from multicast trouble, all AG Node problems are likely to be blamed on multicast
 - OS and application bugs
 - Sound and video-card issues
 - Cabling problems
 - Operator error
- **Holy grail = rapid fault isolation. But how to achieve that?**

- **Consider putting major multicast applications (AG Node, for example) on a dedicated subnet**
 - A case of theoretical purity vs. operational urgency
 - Less likely that debugging multicast will adversely affect other users
- **Eliminate IGMP-snooping / CGMP on this subnet**
 - Fewer failure modes

- **Install a completely redundant host on the AG Node subnet to help rule out system-related trouble**
 - Laptop running PIG or inSORS software
- **Create a clear troubleshooting protocol for AG Node operators**
 - When & how do they hand off a problem?
- **Install copper or fiber taps for monitoring multicast traffic**
 - “show” commands may deceive
 - tcpdump is your friend
 - Easy to do in conjunction with Bro

- **Use the multicast beacon, but use it wisely**
 - We've found the AG beacon has too many hosts for effective troubleshooting in the LAN, but it's valuable for load testing
 - Consider joining the **ESnet beacon** on **thorn.es.net** (much smaller; DOE sites only)
- **Develop a close working relationship with your service provider**
 - We're fortunate in this respect; Mike O'Connor and Joe Burrencia have been outstanding
- **Identify 1 or 2 multicast experts at your vendor's TAC, and open all multicast-related cases with them**
 - Accept no substitutes!

- **Ideally we'd like to be much more proactive when it comes to multicast troubleshooting**
- **The dream: a multicast “early warning system”**
 - Periodically polling routers, performing basic sanity checks on buffers, multicast routing tables, MSDP caches
 - Testing for the specific failure modes we've repeatedly encountered (inductive approach, grounded in operational experience)
- **Funding is unclear, but we're currently implementing an “MSDP alarm” as proof of concept**
 - Compare MSDP caches on two distant routers

A bigger question; conclusion



- **What's to blame for the poor state of multicast code? (Send me your thoughts!)**
 - Inherent complexity of the protocols?
 - Sloppy development & poor QA?
 - Lack of critical customer mass?
 - Lack of business case, on the vendor's part, for improving quality?
- **Conclusion:** We should try to influence these factors however we can
 - By contributing to IETF efforts
 - By holding vendors accountable
- **In the mean time, we need to focus on**
 - Mitigation (eliminate failure modes)
 - Cooperation (share strategies and information)

Thanks!



- **Questions / comments**
 - send to GRBell@lbl.gov

